



Unravelling the New World Order

*An Exposé of How Government, Religious, and Financial Insiders
Are Deciding Your Future*

Year End 2009/January 2010

2010: A Year of Battle for the Survival of American Democracy

by Marilyn M. Brannan, Associate Editor - IRN/USA News

Marilyn Brannan has served for fifteen years as Associate Editor of Monetary & Economic Review, as well as Unravelling the New World Order, both published by First American Monetary Consultants (FAMC). Her commentaries also appear from time to time on the IRN/USA News website, www.irnnews.com.

For the second time in American history, a shot heard ‘round the world was fired in the State of Massachusetts. I would venture to say that, prior to the special election on November 19, very few Democrats would have even entertained the possibility that a Republican could win the seat that Ted Kennedy held for 47 years. It was “unthinkable.”

But it happened. And it brought a frightening revelation to every Democrat member of Congress: *every seat is now in play.*

During the first year of the Obama administration, certain facts began to dawn on the American people: the current system of governance, rotting from within, is *unsustainable*. It is true that every administration, lacking the discipline to police itself within certain limits, contains the seeds of its own destruction.

But the Obama administration—just in its first year—has been characterized by in-your-face disregard for ethics; an acceptance of dishonesty, even blatant untruths; secretive, closed door maneuvering that violated the most basic principles of representative government; and an appalling lack of transparency. However, the most galling aspect of “Obama & Company” tactics is the elitist overlay of stunning arrogance. In their eyes, power justifies any means necessary to obtain it—a principle taken from *Rules for Radicals*ⁱ, the community organizers’ manual written by Saul Alinsky, the guru of America’s radical socialists.

Patriotic American citizens are incensed that Obama and his band of revolutionaries in the White House and among his 30-some “czars” believe they have the right to sweep in and revolutionize America. We were not founded as a Marxist or Fascist system. We were founded on principles of representative government—*of the People, by the People, and for the People*. It is profoundly offensive to see Obama’s “army” attempting to hi-jack our form of government in order to impose a system that is alien in every way to the democratic republic that our Founders designed—and which has served us well for over two hundred years.

Contrary to the liberal media template, Obama is not the consummate politician: he is a committed, die-hard revolutionary. He is smart (cunning might be a better word), but he is an ideologue to the point of being blind to what is prudent—or even sensible. By his words and his actions, he has demonstrated that it is not America he loves—it is *power*. His distorted view of America does not stem from disenchantment with governance gone wrong or egregious abuses of power (which are legitimate reasons for concern). No, Obama’s gripe with America is that our Founders launched this nation on principles that he abhors. Added to that is his personal commitment to get even for every injustice—real and imagined—perpetrated since the founding of this country.

At this point, the Obama administration is in disarray, *but they will not likely be deterred*. With renewed intensity, they will continue to pursue their goals of one-party government, forcible wealth redistribution, universal health care, an even more powerful federal government, and Soviet-style central planning of the economy, the government structure, and all government operations. If they are successful in what they hope to accomplish, representative government in America will disappear completely.

As for the Democrats' electoral defeats thus far during this administration—New Jersey, Virginia, and Massachusetts—there is one word of caution I would add: There is nothing more dangerous in the wild than a wounded animal. With nothing to lose, he will fight viciously to preserve his life. In the same way, a revolutionary will fight with increased fury if his position is threatened.

These revolutionaries divide into two types: those who believe violence is the way to transform society, and those who believe subversion through non-violent means is more effective.

The former demonstrated their methods in the days of the Black Panthers and The Weather Underground. Bill Ayres, who would become a political ally of Obama years later in Chicago, was one of these who engaged in violence to bring the system down in the 60s. He and Bernadine Dohrn (now his wife) engaged in various acts of domestic violence to promote their hard-left political agenda. Ayres was party to the Pentagon bombing violence—a crime he admitted, but for which he was never convicted. He has never repented for his acts of violence, and when interviewed about it a few years ago, Ayres commented that he was sorry he and his fellow terrorists had not done more.

The other class of revolutionaries adheres to the Cloward-Piven strategyⁱⁱ which, in a nutshell, seeks the destruction of capitalism by overloading government bureaucracy with an overwhelming flood of demands, thus pushing society into crisis and economic collapse. That strategy is one of the significant factors underlying our current economic chaos. Robert Chandler, writing for *The Washington Times*ⁱⁱⁱ, noted what we have already observed:

“[activists] *flooding government with impossible demands until it slowly cranks to a stop; overloading electoral systems with successive tidal waves of new voters, many of them bogus; shaking down banks, politicians in Congress and the Department of Housing and Urban Development for affirmative-action borrowing; and pulling down the national financial system by demanding exotic, subprime mortgages for low-income Americans with little hope of repaying their loans.*”

At the point of crisis, the Cloward-Piven advocates believe, the extent of the chaos will create a demand for government to take over all operations in order to restore some measure of stability. The revolutionaries believe that will be their opportunity to seize the levers of power and take full control.

Readers who are old enough may recall that massive welfare spending forced New York City to declare bankruptcy in 1975. The entire state very nearly went down with it. After the initial shock produced when Cloward-Piven was activated, a backlash set in. A reform movement culminated in the 1996 “Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act,” which imposed time limitations on federal welfare and set up strict eligibility and work requirements.

Former Mayor of New York City, Rudy Giuliani, could give you an earful. He exposed the Cloward-Piven revolutionaries during the 1990s, identifying the subversive strategy that was behind the massive welfare spending that forced NYC into default in 1975. He stated, “*This wasn't an accident. It wasn't an atmospheric thing. It wasn't supernatural. This is the result of policies and program designed to have the maximum number of people get on welfare.*”

Without a doubt, the Cloward-Piven Strategy, through widespread activism by ACORN, Project Vote, and dozens of sister organizations comted to the same radical agenda, contributed heavily to the election of the most radical president in U.S. history. When Barack Obama promised he would transform America, he meant it—and he is determined to keep his promise. He is a revolutionary, and he has surrounded himself with revolutionaries whose hard-core objective is to transform America—whether the American people want it or not.

When Thomas Paine penned his now-famous words, “*These are the times that try men's souls,*” America was in the middle of a revolution, the outcome of which would determine the fate of our fledgling nation. I don't believe it is an exaggeration to say that our circumstances today are just as precarious.

ⁱ Saul D. Alinsky, *Rules for Radicals*, Vintage Books edition [Random House], New York, 1989, p. 152.

ⁱⁱ The Cloward-Piven Strategy was proposed in 1966 by two radical sociologists, Richard Andrew Cloward and Frances Fox Piven, then both sociologists and political activists at the Columbia University School of Social Work. The Strategy was published May 2, 1966, in *The Nation* magazine.

ⁱⁱⁱ “The Cloward-Piven Strategy: Using the Poor to Tear Down Capitalism,” *The Washington Times*, Oct. 15, 2008.