



Unravelling the New World Order

*An Exposé of How Government, Religious, and Financial Insiders
Are Deciding Your Future*

July 2010

Obama Hands Police Powers over YOU to INTERPOL

By Marilyn M. Brannan, Associate Editor, IRN/USA News

Editor's Note: *In the January 2010 issue of this publication, our cover story was entitled, "Obama Hands Police Powers over American citizens to INTERPOL." We feel an urgency to run that story again. The following is essentially the same article, with a few changes, among them being the addition of some very pointed questions posed by Andy C. McCarthy, a former federal prosecutor and contributing editor at [National Review Online](#).*

The controlled media in this country are suppressing information about unlawful activities and abuses of power by this administration that the American people are entitled to know. At the same time, Congress is being marginalized by the Executive and Judicial branches of our government, who have little regard for the Constitution, other than exploitation of it for their own unlawful purposes. Citizens should be asking these same pointed questions of their representatives in government. How much do our elected representatives actually know about what is happening in America under this administration? Why are these issues not being raised? Is it too late to turn the tide away from the headlong plunge toward totalitarian government?

Just a few days after Christmas, the *Washington Examiner* reported on a new directive in the form of an Executive Order signed by Barack Obama "may be the most destructive blow ever struck against American constitutional civil liberties."

Andy McCarthy, a former federal prosecutor, writes for *National Review Online* that the Executive Order in question, amended by Obama on December 17, 2009, immunizes an international police force from the limitations that constrain the FBI and other American law-enforcement agencies and makes INTERPOL "immune from the restraints of American law."¹

"Why?" McCarthy asks. "Why is it suddenly necessary to have, within the Justice Department, a repository for stashing government files (such as birth certificates, education records and so on) which, therefore, will be beyond the ability of Congress, American law-enforcement, the media, and the American people to scrutinize? Why would we elevate an international police force from the limitations that constrain the FBI and other American law-enforcement agencies?"

One more question: If President Reagan considered certain protections to be necessary for our national security, what reason could Barack Obama now have for removing those protections?

At least one answer to these questions is very clear. *A coup is underway in the United States of America, the goal of which is to establish complete, unquestioned authority over the citizens—a "fundamental change" to the United States where citizens have no legal recourse against an authoritarian central government.*

Background

INTERPOL is the short name for the International Criminal Police Organization. It was established in 1923 and operates in about 188 countries. By executive order 12425, issued in 1983, President Reagan recognized Interpol as an international organization and gave it some of the privileges and immunities customarily extended to foreign diplomats. Interpol is also an active *law-enforcement agency*, so critical privileges and immunities (set forth in Section 2© of the International Organizations Immunities Act) were withheld. Specifically, Interpol's property and assets remained subject to search and seizure, and its archived records remained subject to public scrutiny under provisions like the Freedom of Information Act. Being constrained by the Fourth Amendment, FOIA, and other limitations of the Constitution and

federal law that protect the liberty of privacy of Americans is what prevents law-enforcement and its controlling government authority from becoming tyrannical.

Under provisions of an executive order signed by President Reagan in 1983, there was a safety feature pertaining to INTERPOL, which provided that property and assets of INTERPOL remained subject to search and seizure, and its archived records remained subject to public scrutiny under provisions like the Freedom of Information Act.

Obama Has Destroyed the Safety Features

All that quietly changed at the hands of Barack Obama. Now, President Obama's executive order negates the Reagan-imposed limitations on INTERPOL (which, incidentally, is housed at the Department of Justice in Washington). The Obama order reverses a 1983 Reagan administration decision and now grants to INTERPOL two key privileges:

#1: INTERPOL will now have the ability to operate within the territorial limits of the United States without being subject to the same constitutional restraints that apply to all domestic law enforcement agencies such as the FBI; and

#2: INTERPOL's domestic facilities—including its office within the U.S. Department of Justice—will be immune from search and seizure by U.S. authorities and from disclosure of archived documents in response to Freedom of Information Act requests filed by U.S. citizens.

Did you get that? *Obama has given an international law enforcement organization that is accountable to no other national authority the ability to operate as it pleases within America's borders—and he has freed it from the most basic measure of official transparency and accountability: the Freedom of Information Act.*

The U.S. will no longer have access to search INTERPOL property, assets or records in its possession; and the U.S. can no longer restrain INTERPOL through U.S. law. This also puts U.S. citizens at risk of prosecution by international tribunals such as the International Criminal Court.

What Does This Mean for America?

Essentially, Barack Obama has handed INTERPOL agents the right to operate as an untouchable police force with unquestioned, unrestrained authority inside the United States. INTERPOL can now operate with full diplomatic immunity outside the confines of the U.S. Constitution. That means they can investigate, interrogate, and arrest any individual they see fit—without the cooperation of the FBI or any state or local law enforcement. Furthermore, our courts and judges will have no recourse to stop INTERPOL from doing as they see fit with any U.S. citizen.

The amended E.O. 12425 appears to allow for the sealing of documents and the transfer of arrested prisoners to foreign countries to be tried in foreign courts under foreign or international law. As the Constitution indicates, the main task of the federal government of the United States is to protect the citizenry. It appears Obama intends something completely different.

There was no presidential statement or White House press briefing on this action by Barack Obama, nor has there been any significant coverage in the liberal media. All that can be found about it on the official White House Website is a December 17 announcement and one paragraph of text from President Obama's amendment of Executive Order 12425. It carried this innocuous headline: "Amending Executive Order 12425 Designating INTERPOL as a public international organization entitled to enjoy certain privileges, exemptions, and immunities."

If the potential abuses of so-called "hate crimes" legislation give you concern, then imagine a politically correct international police force with known ties to Muslim countries operating unchecked on our soil! While these international police are rounding up suspected terrorists, arms dealers, and international drug-ring hoodlums, might they also use their unrestricted powers to terrorize members of opposition groups exercising their (former) rights of free speech?

The current administration and radical members of the Democrat Party leadership have already demonstrated their contempt for opposition, and they appear eager now to adopt bolder measures to squelch it.

ⁱ Andrew McCarthy at *National Review Online*: "Why does INTERPOL Need Immunity from American Law?" – Dec. 23, 2009, and "Obama Takes America Down Another Notch With an Unnecessary, Reckless Act," – January 8, 2010.