



Unravelling the New World Order

*An Exposé of How Government, Religious, and Financial Insiders
Are Deciding Your Future*

October 2009

Republic Form of Government: A Gift From God?

By Chuck Bates

Charles E. "Chuck" Bates is Executive Vice President and News Director for IRN/USA Radio News. He formerly served as an assistant to the Deputy to the President for Political Affairs in the White House. Chuck is co-host of the national radio talk show, "News & Views," broadcast daily at www.irmusanews.com

Before those on the left side of the aisle fall out in a fit of rage, let me say that the above title has nothing to do with Republicans v. Democrats –at least, not directly. Rather, it is a reference to the type of government the Founders of this nation brought forth for us some 230+ years ago. Let's take a look at why the republican form of government is far superior to any other system of governance on planet earth.

On May 27, 1795, an election sermon (that's right—a sermon in a church, specifically discussing an election and its eternal consequences) was delivered by a Massachusetts minister, Rev. Peres Forbes. In his sermon he notes, "Virtue and religion above all else are the strongest pillars of government." He went on to say, "Hence, a republican form was the choice and fabric of God himself for his own people. Moses, with a senate of seventy, shared the government of Israel..."

Another election sermon on May of 1796 by Jonathan French of Andover, Massachusetts, stated, "No form of government yet constructed ever was so congenial to Christianity as a well regulated republic." The reasoning lies in the accountability of the checks and balances system inherent in a republic. Judeo-Christian beliefs center around God's order and mandates (the Ten Commandments) to protect the individual and to require of them personal responsibility. A republic is no different. It is rule by law.

Contrast that with the mob rule of "pure democracy" or the authoritarian rule of socialism/communism/fascism, and I think any sane person would prefer the republican form of government. Our second President, John Adams, stated: "*Our Constitution was made only for a moral and religious people. It is wholly inadequate to the government of any other.*" Implicit in Adams' statement is the requirement that such a people will exercise an attitude of personal responsibility, coupled with a recognition that fair and equitable government cannot exist absent the component of morality.

Think with me on this. If we as a community decide to meet at a civic center to take "democratic" action, we can be simple majority make a decision that will affect all of those not in attendance. For example, we vote to take all of the property of a certain individual not at the meeting. We appoint a policeman to do our bidding, and the mob has essentially taken the property of one person to redistribute to those gathered at our meeting. You may say, "We would never do that!" Well, we have come a long way down the road since those godly pastors and statesmen of the late 18th century defined the pillars of a republican form of government; and I can assure you that today we practice an immoral system of wealth redistribution virtually every time we demand that government do something for us that we should be doing for ourselves. We give up our rights and responsibilities when we ask government to take from other producers in order to support (i.e., finance through

the use of taxpayers' money) our pet projects and desires. This is tyranny in its most subtle but dangerous form, and it will eventually spell death to the republic.

So you might ask, "*If a republic is so fragile, how can it be a superior system?*" The answer is that the true republican form of government is rule by *law*. The mob cannot simply take one's property, because the law protects against such. A republic is further based on the laws of nature that, as noted in the founding documents, are given by God and are therefore inalienable. Life, liberty, and protection of property are by definition basic rights of all men. Republics allow for ultimate freedom but they also require individual responsibility. When an individual loses sight of the responsibilities imposed by self-governance, then the law must come into play to remedy the situation.

The United States' experiment in republican government based on rule of law is clearly the greatest success of that form of government in history. The freedom afforded under such a system—which by law limits government and protects the individual—has led to a greater level of innovation, investment, and creative expression than ever before in the history of the planet. People throughout history have swarmed the United States for opportunity and freedom. For what other destination are people willing to venture into the sea in a converted Buick-turned-boat of 1940's vintage and make a break for freedom?

Sadly, our republic—so dependent on the individual to exercise responsibility—is beginning to show the signs of failure evidenced in previous republics. It is a situation not unlike that of the Roman Empire. Its citizens lost sight of their foundational responsibilities and began to choose the easy way over the right way; and its politicians chose the immediate, rather than what was right for future generations. The Empire sought to control the world, but declined to control itself. As a result, it collapsed due to depravity and debauchery. In a climate of "pure democracy" or mob rule, which it is, essentially), a majority will discover they can vote themselves large gifts from the treasury; and they will continue to re-elect the politicians who promise them the most.

So, as I stated initially, this is not about Republicans or Democrats. It is about the essential *responsibility* that each of us has to preserve our freedoms and our republican form of government for the next generation. Inherent in this is the necessity of *rightly educating our children* so they will understand why the republican form of government has enabled America to become the most prosperous, the most innovative, and the freest nation on earth.

I am reminded of a comment from Mark Levin, attorney, talk-radio host, best-selling author, and President of Landmark Legal Foundation:

"Parents and grandparents must take it upon themselves to teach their children and grandchildren to believe in and appreciate the principles of the American civil society and stress the import of preserving and improving the society. They will need to teach their offspring that the Statist threatens their generation's liberty and prosperity, and to resist ideologically alluring trends and fads. Parent and grandparents by the millions can counteract the Statist's indoctrination of their children and grandchildren in government schools and by other Statists institutions simply by conferring their knowledge, beliefs and ideals on them over the dinner table, in the car or at bedtime. If undertaken on an intimate, purposeful, and consistent basis, it will shape a generation of new conservatives. (Source: Mark Levin, *Liberty and Tyranny: A Conservative Manifesto*, published by Threshold Editions, a division of Simon & Schuster., Inc. New York, NY 2009)